

nch - Direction

Office or Division - Bureau ou Division

Month - Mois

IMITATION, ENFORCEMENT AND CONTROL

CO-ORDINATION GROUP, OLYMPICS '76

July 1975

Activity & File No. Activité & Dossier no.	Activity Description Description de l'Activité	Status Progrès	Target Date for Completion Date Prévue d'Achèvement	Remarks Observations
MICRO-FICHE READERS 5871-5-9	Evaluate available Reader equipment.		Completed	The models considered and tested were from Kodak, Bell and Howell 3M (Mini-cat). Under actual test at Toronto and Montreal Intn'l Airports in March and May 1975, 3M and Kodak proved to have many drawbacks. Subsequently, a new model of the Bell & Howell, No. 950 was demonstrated and exceeded our expectations, present and post Olympics requirements. The Model 950 from Bell & Howell was selected.
5871-5-9	Provide an estimate of the number of Readers required and their distribution.		Completed	As a result of a meeting at Montreal attended by senior officials of the Customs Operations Branch and Regional Olympic Liaison Officers a determination was made to purchase 250 Readers.
5871-5-9	Purchase of Readers.	Continued		On June 30, 1975, an order went forward, through D.S.S., to purchase 250 Bell & Howell, Model 950, at a cost of \$72,000.

PLEASE ADDRESS
CORRESPONDENCE TO

THE COMMISSIONER
ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE
OTTAWA, CANADA
K1A 0R2



HEADQUARTERS
DIRECTION GÉNÉRALE

PRÉRIÈRE D'ADRESSER LES
LETTRES COMME SUIT:

LE COMMISSAIRE
GENDARMERIE ROYALE DU CANADA
OTTAWA, CANADA
K1A 0R2

YOUR NO.
VOTRE N°

A468-8

OUR NO.
NOTRE N°

GY-1160-2

January 20, 1975

CONFIDENTIAL

C.O. "A" "C" and "O" Divisions

ATTN: Division Olympic Security Coordinator

Technical Equipment -
Olympics 1976

2
CORDED

Provision has been made in estimates for 20 two-man teams and an expenditure of \$300,000.00 for video equipment for the recording of important occurrences during the Olympics, eg. VIP arrivals and departures.

The D/Commissioner, Criminal Operations, has directed that each team have portability and complete mobility. In addition, subject to the threat assessment at the time of the Olympics, the D/Commissioner directed that 17 teams would be deployed in the Province of Quebec, one at Kingston and two would be located at Headquarters for use in Ottawa and Toronto should the need arise.

To acquire first-hand knowledge of the various sites which will be involved in Olympic security and determine specific video equipment requirements, it will be necessary for members of Headquarters Olympic Services Section to attend at your Division and conduct joint surveys with appropriate Division personnel.

Because there may be considerable lead time involved in procuring certain equipment, it is essential that the surveys be initiated and completed as soon as possible.

Headquarters Olympic Services Section personnel will be contacting your Olympic Security Coordinators to establish suitable dates for the surveys.

Reviewed *Call*
FEB 17 1975
SECURITY SERVICE
RECORDS

J.R.R. Quintal
J.R.R. Quintal, C/Supt.
for Federal Security Coordinator
for the 1976 Olympics

cc: Director, Criminal Investigation

PA on 2/1/75

Oly 157

JAN 28 1975

000858

Branch - Direction

Office or Division - Bureau ou Division

Month - Mois

MILITATION: ENFORCEMENT AND CONTROL

CO-ORDINATION GROUP, OLYMPICS '76

July 1975

Activity & File No.	Activity Description	Status	Target date for Completion	Remarks
Activité & Dossier no.	Description de l'Activité	Progrès	Date Prévue d'Achèvement	Observations
COMPUTERIZED OLYMPIC IMMIGRATION LOOKOUT SYSTEM 5871-5	Programming of C.P.I.C. Computer for the C.O.I.L.S.	On-going	August 75	<p>On July 9, 1975, the Director General, Priorities and Program Co-ordination, was asked to provide the necessary assistance from the Program Data Group to co-ordinate up-date and retrieve the data which would be fed into the C.P.I.C. as well as the production of the tapes for the Micro-fiche Readers.</p> <p>The cost of programming the C.P.I.C. Computer to accommodate data as requested by the Immigration Enforcement Section amounted to \$25,000. This phase was terminated on March 15, 1975.</p>
5871-5-3	Design data input form	On-going	August 75	<p>Form Temp. 473 may yet be amended for the Olympic Lookout System and retained as an H.Q. input document for COILS only.</p> <p>At a meeting held on July 22, with Mr. J.O. Swales, A.B. Gorman, A. Gunn, H.G. Pollard and R. Saint Vincent, the parameters of the system, as agreed to in principle were endorsed by Mr. Gorman. Mr. Gunn has taken on the task of ensuring that a tape consisting of data from the Immigration Lookout Files, Security and Intelligence, Security Services (RCMP), will be ready for input into the CPIC. At the question of retrieving or selecting data to produce the microfiche, including lost or stolen passport information 000931 priority.</p>

TO / A Officer i/c Operations

FROM / DE Officer i/c Security Service
Olympic Secretariat

SUBJECT / OBJET Summer Olympics in Montreal, Quebec
1976 - Emergency Operations Centres

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION - DE SÉCURITÉ
SECRET
OUR FILE - N/RÉFÉRENCE
GY 1610-21
YOUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE
DATE
1 December 1975

As you are probably aware, a group of members from the Olympic Secretariat, "D" Ops. and Ops. recently received a tour and briefing at the National Defence Operations Centre (NDOC), D.N.D. Headquarters, Ottawa. A copy of the memorandum, dated November 25, is attached for your information.

The Federal Security Coordinator, C/Supt. Quintal, who will be utilizing our Operations Centre during the Olympic period, has raised a number of questions as a result of the D.N.D. briefing. The questions are as follows:

- (a) Is the system "GOLIATH" available to the Force?
- (b) Is the system reliable and efficient?
- (c) What is the cost per unit and order time?
- (d) What present secure communications links and secure speech channels exist between COMMR. (HQ) and (1) Ministry (Sol. Gen.) (2) Div. CO's
- (e) Feasibility of GOLIATH facility for Olympics from EOC to Divs. and Main CO/ORD Centre in Montreal where Principal Security Committee will function.

We would appreciate your responses and comments in answer to these questions. As the Olympics are fast approaching, I would be most grateful for an early reply.

I.W. Taylor
I.W. Taylor, Supt.
Officer i/c Security Service
Olympic Secretariat

RECEIVED
DEC 4 1975
SECURITY SERVICE
RECORDS

c.c. Federal Security Coordinator

att.

PAQ 229



MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

DDG (Ops); DDG (A & P);
i/c A, B, D, H, I, Operations;
Admin. & F.S.S.;
Automated Information Services;
Foreign Services; Internal Security;
Security Service Olympics Secretariat;
Policy-Planning & Co-Ordination;
Staffing and Personnel;
Training & Development.

TO
A

FROM
DE

Officer i/c "F" Operations

SECURITY-CLASSIFICATION - DE SÉCURITÉ
OUR FILE-N/RÉFÉRENCE IA 30-2-17-1
YOUR FILE-V/RÉFÉRENCE
DATE 17 May 1976

SUBJECT / OBJET
"F" Operations Copy Centre - Room B-411
Access After Hours

"F" Operations has recently opened an additional Copy Centre in Room B-411 to meet Security Service requirements.

- This room is equipped with an IBM Copier 2 and a Xerox 3100 Copier and is keyed to the "B" Wing 4th Floor masterkey retained by the Commissionaire on duty.
- When requested, he will open B-411, record the name and badge no. of the requesting member in his daily report and ensure the room is locked when the member departs.
- The Xerox 3100 will be left in the on position to speed after hour copy work during the week but in the "off" position on weekends. Operating instructions will be placed on the machine.

R.B. Gavin
(R.B. Gavin), Supt.
Officer i/c "F" Ops

copied to policy book & EOAC File

*PA
20.5.
[Signature]*

Reviewed *Ahn*
MAY 26 1976
SECURITY SERVICE RECORDS
000067

MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

TO: Officer i/c "D" Operations

FROM: S/Sgt. [redacted]

SUBJECT: Olympics - Documentary of Security on Film

SECURITY - CLASSIFICATION - DE SÉCURITÉ
CONFIDENTIAL
OUR FILE - N/RÉFÉRENCE
IP 100-3
YOUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE
DATE
September 29, 1975

On Tuesday September 23, 1975 I attended a presentation and demonstration of photographic equipment conducted by two members of NCIB Services Section held at the Vedic Building. Purpose of the demonstration was to bring those members of the Force concerned with security photography during the Olympics up to date on recent developments concerning procurement of equipment, its capabilities, cost, etc. Present at the meeting were:

- C/Supt. J.B. Giroux
- C/Supt. D.W. McGibbon
- Insp. R. McIntyre
- Insp. T.C. Jenkins
- Supt. D. Thompson - Vancouver, B.C.
(Division Co-Ordinator for HABITAT)
- Insp. E. Godfrey
- Sgt. [redacted] - NCIB Services Section
- Sgt. [redacted] - NCIB Services Section
- S/Sgt. [redacted]

Reviewed *CH*
 FEB 17 1976
 SECURITY SERVICE RECORDS

2. Sgt. [redacted] made an audio-visual presentation of approximately 45 minutes duration and also displayed photographic equipment. It was learned that an expenditure of \$500,000 has now been approved for security filming. (You will recall being advised in September 1974 that the entire security filming project had been shelved due to heavy commitments in other areas related to the 1976 Olympics).

3. The equipment described and displayed is known as low light level video photography recording system. With this equipment photographs can be taken under almost nonexistent light conditions. Different lenses for distance photography are utilized and clear photographs suitable for positive identification purposes can be obtained at distances up to 1200 feet. Two motor homes previously used for RCMP centennial display purposes are being converted to serve as mobile command

PA
01/15/7

[Handwritten signature]
15/12
1.12.75

→ [redacted]

- NOTE & RETAIN. ON APPROPRIATE FILE, P286.

000834

[Handwritten initials]

Olympics - Documentary of Security
on Film

posts. These units will be completely self-contained with power generating equipment, air conditioning, communications equipment etc. Helicopters which will also be used are to be equipped with photographic capabilities and communication with the mobile units. Portable camera units operating independently and carried by two members will mingle throughout the crowds and their photography will be monitored instantaneously on screens in the mobile vans from distances up to 1500 feet.

4. A system is now being worked out to include on video film the month, day, date, hour, minute and second each video tape was taken. Each tape can be reused if not required, however plans are being made to have tapes catalogued as it is felt most will be retained for identification purposes, court procedures, etc. Operators to be trained will in most cases have Identification Branch background. It was learned that this photographic equipment consists of the latest developments on the commercial market and only the radio frequency by which it transmits is classified.

5. Supt. Thompson asked whether the equipment could be used during the staging of HABITAT, also whether the Vancouver City Police could be made aware of its existence. Affirmative replies were received and members of NCIB will be requested to visit Vancouver in November to survey site locations, geographical and topographical area, etc. Insp. Gillard of Special "O" in Vancouver is aware of this system.

6. Insp. Godfrey raised the question of the role Security Service will play in this program. He raised two points (a) could Security Service personnel have access to video tapes for monitoring purposes during quiet hours on a daily basis, and (b) could teams of two men each who can recognize persons of interest be employed on a shift basis in the two vans to monitor screens and film as it is being taken. Sgt. [redacted] advised that two Security Service members could be accommodated in each van should this approach be decided upon.


CONFIDENTIAL

IP 100-3

- 3 -

7. After the meeting I privately asked the NCIB members whether or not this program could be worked in conjunction with video equipment the Security Service has. I was informed that NCIB Services Section equipment is probably more up to date and it is unlikely our equipment would be compatible. NCIB Services Section, which I understand has been renamed to Olympics Services Section has no contact with our "J" Operations.

8. It is my opinion that security filming needs will be met with this system. The role Security Service will play, monitoring of video tapes etc. will have to be worked out with operational personnel in "C" Division.

 S/Sgt.

c.c. Sgt. 
Operations

→ Insp. Godfrey
Security Service Olympic Secretariat

000836

Direction		Office or Division - Bureau ou Division		Month - Mois
IMMIGRATION, ENFORCEMENT AND CONTROL		CO-ORDINATION GROUP - OLYMPICS '76		July 1975
Activity & File No. Activité & Dossier no.	Activity Description Description de l'Activité	Status Progrès	Target Date for Completion Date Prévue d'achèvement	Remarks Observations
OLYMPIC IDENTITY CARD 71-3-1	<p>Acceptability as a 'prescribed document' for admission to Canada between June 17 and August 31, 1976.</p> <p>In the Olympic tradition, the Government of the countries where the Games have been held, has facilitated the entry of athletes and officials by accepting as a valid document the Olympic Identity Card issued by that country's organizing committee.</p>		Completed	The Minister, on April 5, 1975, approved the amendments of Instrument 'N' and 'Z-4' to that effect.
71-3-3	<p>Identity Cards for the Participants in the Games of the XXI Olympiad - Montréal 1976 -</p> <p>This document, prepared by the Director of Accreditations and Attachés, C.O.J.O., assisted by the Immigration Co-ordinator, will be sent to the I.O.C., each N.O.C. and I.S.F.</p>	On-going	July 1975	The final draft was reviewed with Mr. F. Shaughnessy, COJO, on July 16, Sgt. ██████████ RCMP Olympic Secretariat - Montréal, was also present. Mr. Shaughnessy agreed to several changes and additions to which would prevent fraudulent use of the cards, stricter controls as to the return of unused incomplete cards.

..... 2

RE: COJO IDENTIFICATION CARDS

The Kingston Committee wish to know particulars of the system which will be used to issue COJO I/D Cards. Will they be issued in Kingston - what facilities and equipment will be made available etc.

NOTE: I agreed to check this out and ensure that appropriate information was made available before the next CPC(KA) meeting.

NOTE: Send summary of COJO accreditation report (containing sample IID cards etc.) to CPC(KA).

RESTRICTED AIR SPACE:

Request will be made for 5000 feet clearance over the sailing course on a 24 hour basis from 17-6-76 to end of Games. Request will be channeled through our Secretariat to MOT.

CANADIAN YACHTING ASSOCIATION TRIALS:

The Canadian sailing trials are scheduled for Kingston, ending 27-6-76. Olympic Sailing Course will be used. Because of security requirements on courses, the Committee intend requesting the CYA to advance their dates so that trials can be completed 15-6-76.

TRAINING:

S/Supt. McBride advised the meeting that he had discussed training requirements with S/Sgt. [REDACTED]

A training Sub-committee for Kingston will be formed with representatives (NCOs) from OPP, RCMP, KPD and DND. S/Sgt. [REDACTED] would be invited to participate.

INTELLIGENCE:

~~Re attachment - Annex "A", S/Supt. McBride requested a copy of report referred to.~~

NOTE: I agreed to obtain report and forward to S/Supt. McBride.

~~S/Supt. McBride stated "it is my belief that meetings are taking place between Montreal and U.S. authorities concerning Montreal Activities with overtones concerning Kingston activities. I am not satisfied to leave intelligence gathering in the hands of the [REDACTED] RCMP".~~

MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

TO / A OFFICER I/C "D" OPERATIONS

FROM / DE C/M I/C OPERATIONS

SECURITY - CLASSIFICATION - DE SÉCURITÉ
S E C R E T
OUR FILE - N/RÉFÉRENCE
IA 40-2-70
YOUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE
DATE
October 22, 1975.

SUBJECT / OBJET

SECURITY SERVICE - TELECOMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS

As you are aware we activated in early July of this year a direct secure KW-7 link between this Headquarters and [redacted] in Washington, D.C. Additionally, a second direct KW-7 link was installed between this Headquarters and Montreal. The requirement justifying these installations was the projected 50,000 name checks which were to originate with "C" Division in conjunction with the Olympic Games.

2. I understand that the name checks are being conveyed by courier and to date a total of only four (4) messages have been transmitted from this Headquarters to Washington, D.C. via KW-7. The monthly rental for the lines between these points is in excess of \$500. The secondary circuit between here and Montreal is being adequately used though not to capacity and is well justified. However, in view of the negligible traffic to Washington the shortage of KW-7 equipments and the high line rentals I would appreciate receiving your views on the necessity for retaining this circuit. We do have an obligation to [redacted] in that they plan to use the circuit to forward name checks to this point in conjunction with their Bi-Centennial and have agreed to enter into a cost sharing agreement at the conclusion of the Olympic Games. It does appear, however, that between then and now we are going to expend considerable funds to keep this circuit in an idle mode. Your comments would be appreciated.

[redacted]

C/M
I/C "J" OPERATIONS

30.10.75

① SIS [redacted] CAN WE DISCUSS ALSE WITH SCREENING DESKS.

Mike & PAT your views please

Reviewed CT 44
 NOV 7 1975
 SECURITY SERVICE RECORDS

000833

KW-7

13. Slated to be installed at Kingston Detachment in the Fall of 75. Will be on the National Network and totally looked after by "HQ" Telecomms Section. Kingston Security Service may have to house the "Keylist" in their Chubb safe until the OPS Centre becomes operational on a 24-hour basis.

SECURITY SCREENINGS

15. "A" Division Security Service has agreed to handle the processing of all adverse screenings for Ottawa and Kingston. The projected reject rate is about 1% and this will not place any strain on the duties of the co-ordinator. This area to date has sparked a fair amount of dialogue and Security Service has been actively involved in discussions with COJO officials to the point of where all applications are now properly filled out which was the main problem before. Once the magnitude of the "F" Ops system was explained, the Director of Yachting in Kingston issued clear instructions as to how their application forms will be filled out. This directive will only streamline matters and improve efficiency. Approximately 3000 names are to be checked in Kingston and 500 in Ottawa.

CONFLICT GAMES

16. Participation in both games in Montreal (Jan) and Kingston (April) served a very useful purpose. An additional game is slated for the Fall of 75 in Kingston as well as a Command Post exercise in April 76.

DEFUSING

17. To be the responsibility of "D" Ops and not likely to materialize until after April 76. Commencing in the Fall of 75, the member in Kingston should undertake a full assessment of the groups at Queen's University, particularly the R.M.G., Arab Student Federation, and Third World groups. Close monitoring should take place until the end of the academic year (May 76) and depending upon the level of source penetration and the threat assessment, defusing should be considered.

LIAISON

18. (As stated at the outset, every effort is being taken to dialogue with persons involved in the 76 Games. Our presence at the OPP Border Conferences is a must and our theme is co-operation.)

SECRET

IA 40-2-70

SECRET

November 18, 1975.


The Officer i/c Security Service
"O" - TORONTO

RE: SECURITY SERVICE - TELECOMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

This has reference to the discussions between Supt. Taylor and Mr. Jones regarding the possibility of installing a KW-7 dedicated circuit between the Security Service Comcentre and a Metro Toronto Police Olympic Operation Centre.

At present, we have no KW-7 equipment available from existing stock. We do have some available in our spare parts inventory that is held for back-up purposes. We are reluctant to release any of it as the system could be jeopardized elsewhere.


We, therefore, solicit your views as to whether or not this circuit is considered crucial enough to warrant the release of this equipment from the spare parts inventory.



C/M
for Deputy Director General
Security Service

c.c. O. I/C OLYMPIC SECRETARAT

RA
hmo



19-11-75
[initials]

000830

c.c. Officer-in-charge, "D" Operations, RCMP Headquarters

MEMORANDUM

B. 412

Supt. Beaulieu

CLASSIFICATION

S/Sgt. Boutilier

Chief, Enforcement Division

YOUR FILE No.
Votre dossier

OUR FILE No. 5500-7-2
Notre dossier

DATE October 4, 1974

FROM S.E. McDivitt,
De Policy and Procedures Section

SUBJECT Olympic Computerized Lookout - '76 Olympics, Montreal
Sujet

1. On the 1 October 1974, a meeting of the following RCMP and Immigration personnel involved in this project was held at IDHQ; Insp. J.P.L. Aubry, W. Boutilier, and of the RCMP; C.J. Dagg, R. Saint Vincent, G. Pollard, M.J. Lanoue and S.E. McDivitt of Immigration.

2. Insp. Aubry stated that as the result of an earlier meeting on the 16 September, he had several questions which he wished to answer:

- 1) the use of a CRT (Cathode Ray Tube) as considered at the airports, was out, this equipment was not in the original agreement.
- 2) the system was scheduled for operation by 1 July 1975. This date was decided at a meeting in March, 1974. At that time, terminals would be installed at designated locations.
- 3) any changes in this policy would have to be agreed upon by senior management of both Departments.

3. It was pointed out that as a result of subsequent meetings with the RCMP, it was anticipated that a terminal could be installed at IDHQ by the end of 1974 if necessary, for the purpose of "input" of information into the computer. It was also possible that a terminal could be installed at either of the major airports at Dorval or Toronto for the purpose of "test runs". Insp. Aubry stated that this was not in the original agreement and that once "input" commenced the system was considered "operational". Therefore, we could not have "input" until after 1 July 1975. Also once "input" was done no changes to the programming of the system could be made. He agreed that it was quite probable that a terminal could be installed at IDHQ, and an airport upon agreement, but it would be for the sole purpose of familiarization and practice on the equipment, once it became "operational" it was a 24-hour a day operation.

4. The RCMP have committed themselves to CN/CP for the rental of 30 computer terminals for exclusive use of Immigration. This was a five year agreement with CN/CP and at the conclusion of the Olympics the RCMP would remove them from Immigration offices and re-locate them for their own use. They still require approximately three months notice as to where these terminals are to be located, and all or any can be installed and operating by 1 July 1975; or at whatever time was indicated thereafter.
5. He was asked if any "portable" terminals could be available, and replied "no", as each is given a code and location which could not be altered on a daily basis. He had mentioned at an earlier meeting that terminals could be moved to other locations (presumably in the same area or building) at a cost of \$30 per terminal.
6. He was asked what the time lapse would be for replacement of a defective terminal, and replied that their agreement with CN/CP provided for replacement within the shortest possible time, which could be minutes depending on location.
7. Mr. Dagg advised that he knows where terminals should be located, but in some cases it has to be decided how many may be required. Some may not be necessary until shortly before the Olympics. At places such as the Montreal area with the opening of Mirabel, the primary overseas airport, terminals would be installed there, but it would also be necessary at Dorval in the event aircraft had to be redirected due to weather or other unforeseen circumstances. Insp. Aubry agreed that there should be contingency plans to cover these situations.
8. In regards to the number of terminals Insp. Aubry again emphasized the fact that more than one terminal should be at our IDHQ particularly if this was the only source of "input" into the computer. It is conceivable this terminal could be in almost constant use prior to and possibly during the Olympics making changes or adding to the list of "undesirables". It was agreed that several terminals may be required for this purpose and this could be decided as soon as the workload can be estimated.
9. Additional terminals would also be necessary as it was considered a "hard copy unsolicited" message on all "hits" would be received at IDHQ in the event information was required from our files on the individual. By this same token on "hits", when the information was supplied by the RCMP the "unsolicited" message would be directed to the RCMP. In all cases of course, the originating terminal would receive the complete message.
10. He was questioned on the possibility of two simultaneous "hits" from the same area which are printed out in "hard copy" on one terminal, and if it was possible for the two messages becoming fragmented - or mixed up in context. He replied it was possible, but under normal conditions the messages would be "queued up" by the computer and each released in turn.

11. Insp. Aubry asked about the system operated in Germany during the '72 Olympics. Mr. Dagg stated that system had over 300,000 names in the central control at Weisbaden, Germany, and the country was divided into sectors. The system could apparently handle 200 queries an hour, (which Insp. Aubry had some doubt about). The German authorities operating the system were a pari-military-police, and there was no question of appeal if an "undesirable" was identified. There were no figures given however as to the effectiveness of the system - nor frequency of identification of undesirables.

12. In regards to the "input" of names of "undesirables" into the computer, this apparently cannot be commenced before 1 July 1975 when we become "operational". If this is the case, we now have approximately nine months in which to complete the documentation of the information on the new forms prepared for this purpose. Insp. Aubry stated that all formats would have to be approved by CPIC, he was informed this new form was designed in co-operation with their CPIC personnel so that it would be compatible to the computer. This form - now being printed - is of a temporary nature and to be used exclusively by this Department and solely for the Olympic Lookout. The RCMP were given a photocopy of the form. Copies should be received shortly at which time our Intelligence Section can commence to enter their information on them before they can be entered into the computer.

13. The RCMP Security-Service advised their list of names are on tapes, but there is some question of their release to us, due to the fact we have no facilities for converting them to our format, and they have to be sent to an outside agency, SDL (Systems Dimensions Limited) for this function. It was suggested that possibly the RCMP may be able to convert this information themselves and thereby save time and problems regarding the security.

14. The question of Lost and Stolen Canadian Passports again came up, as it does at each meeting, and what is proposed to be done regarding returning Canadian, who are now given little if any examination as to true identity. This latter aspect has still not been decided upon, but will be looked into very closely.

15. In regards to the stolen Canadian Passports, Insp. Aubry again advised these would be going into CPIC on November 7th, but is accessible only to "accredited Police Agency only" and not to this Department. He was advised that External Affairs were also putting these Canadian Passports in a computer, it was not known if this would be a duplication or not. It was pointed out that even with permission of External Affairs, our terminals could not query their computer. There would therefore have to be some other communication system set up, whether this could be side by side installation of Immigration, RCMP, and External terminals, so each could query their own computers on a given individual is a possible consideration. This would be at major airports only, but could be explored in view of the fact that the security of the Olympics is a Governmental responsibility.

16. The question of obtaining names of suspects and criminals on the Interpol lists was raised, and Insp. Aubry advised this should be approached through Supt. Quintal or the Director of Criminal Investigations. Mr. Saint Vincent stated he would look into this matter.

17. Insp. Aubry advised that the training of our personnel who will operate the terminals will be carried out by their CPIC members and can be done on the site or at the nearest RCMP Divisional Headquarters, depending on number involved and for those in busy areas could involve several days instructions. He also suggested that we visit an operating computer system so that we will see and know what a computer can do - and will do. He would arrange for us to visit the Ottawa City Police - which is the nearest CPIC computer. He would also provide copies of the CPIC policy relating to "Privacy, Security, and Confidentiality", for our information and guidance.

18. In closing Insp. Aubry suggested that if we are desirous of advancing the "operational" date of 1 July 1975, or if having several terminals installed prior to that date for "practice" purposes, our Director should correspond with their Commissioner.

19. Further meetings will be arranged as necessary.

20. A copy of this report is being forwarded direct to Insp. Aubry - and to the officer-in-charge, "D" operations, RCMP Headquarters, as well as Mr. R. Saint Vincent, Co-ordinator, Special Events.



back DTE P&D

SECRET 26 Feb 76
COMMUNICATIONS

37-38 ROUTINE/ROUTINE MTL2 JAN21 SECRET

OTT3 OPS (ATTN SGT
INFO QBC SS

J-491 RE: IA40-2-32-4-C

WARNING

THIS IS A
CLASSIFIED
CYPHER MESSAGE

All replies or references to it MUST bear the security classification stamped hereon, unless downgraded by proper authority.

YOUR TELEPHONE IS NOT SECURE

The content or any portion of this message irrespective of classification is NOT to be discussed over the telephone.

WITH REFERENCE TO OUR CONVERSATION, I HAVE BEEN INFORMED BY THE S.S. OLYMPIC SECRETARIAT THAT NO DEDICATED MUCPD KW7 CIRCUIT BETWEEN THE OLYMPIC ONTARIO SITE AND OUR H.Q. IS REQUIRED. THE ONLY NEED IDENTIFIED IS ONE KW7 ON THE NATIONAL NETWORK FOR BOTH CIB AND S.S. USE.

2. AS TO THE REQUIREMENT FOR ONE ADDITIONAL KW7 IN QUEBEC CITY, THIS MATTER HAS BEEN DISCUSSED BY MR. JONES, S/SGT. AND THE NCO I/C QUEBEC S.S., UPON A VISIT IN THAT AREA LAST FALL.


3. IT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED AT THAT TIME THAT NO ADDITIONAL UNIT COULD BE INSTALLED FOR SECURITY REASONS. QUEBEC S.S. AGREED THAT THEY WOULD AWAIT PHASE II OF THE ESTATE PROGRAM TO FULFILL THEIR NEEDS. THEY FEEL CONFIDENT THAT THE PRESENT KW7 IS SUFFICIENT TO HANDLE THEIR NEEDS EVEN DURING THE OLYMPICS.

SS MTL OPS

90
P&D
PSE ACK

SECRET

ATTN: KKK



JAN 27 1976

000825

13 Sept., 1976

RE: 1976 Summer Olympics - Montreal, Quebec
Security Service Planning - General

1) Operational Centres:

Ottawa

No problem areas.

Kingston

A shortage of office space and the security need to install the KW-7 in the Security Service office made for a very cramped situation. However, for a short term basis, members were prepared and accepted the need to work in this milieu.

For future operations of this type it is emphasized that proper space be secured.

2. Throughout the Olympic planning period and continuing with the operational phase the co-operation, advice and guidance received from the Security Service Olympic Secretariat at "HQ" was of tremendous value. The same is equally true of the assistance received at the Divisional level by Supt. YELLE and his staff.

3. Within "A" Div. Security Service there were three members who's involvement and contribution to the 1976 Games made the task of planning easier and enjoyable. Cpls. [redacted] and S/Cst. [redacted] participation in no small way contributed to the success of the programs embarked upon.

[redacted]
Sgt.
Olympic Co-Ordinator
"A" Div. Security Service

000063

Branch - Direction :

Office or Division - Bureau ou Division :

Month - Mois :

FACILITATION, ENFORCEMENT AND CONTROL

CO-ORINATION GROUP, OLYMPICS '76

July 1975

Activity & File No. Activité & Dossier no.	Activity Description Description de l'Activité	Status Progrès	Target date for Completion Date Prévue d'Achèvement	Remarks Observations
DISEMBARKATION CARDS 5871-5-7	As a mean of facilitating the task of P.I.L. examining officers in using Micro-fiche Readers to verify the particulars of arriving passengers against the list of prohibited persons shown on the micro-fiche.			It is intended to seek the co-operation of airlines, on a trial basis, to hand out Disembarkation Cards to passengers on a particular flight. This would give the Immigration Service a possibility carrying out post arrival checks, especially with regard to Canada lost or stolen passports against COILS.
5871-5-7	To inform I.C.A.O. that the Government of Canada wishes to register a difference in accordance with paragraph 3.10 of Annex 9, Facilitation, stating the effective date.			Since the proposal to enforce this requirement has not been agreed to ICAO will not be informed of our intentions in this regard.
N.I. VISAS - SPECIAL CODING 5871-4-5	The N.I. Visa should have a special code or identifier to facilitate detection of fraudulent N.I. Visas.	On-going		Input required from Foreign Service Region, Enforcement, Field Canada. The proposal is meant to provide a full proof code for a specific period. A new visa stamp may also be suggested to incorporate additional information.

000938

Cost - Mte

CLAIMED WIRES CAN RUN LIVE TO ON SITE MONITOR.

VIP Security at Sites (9 AIRPORTS) (NOT LIVE) = (CROWD SCANNING). HISTORICAL EVIDENCE.

10 Portable VTR Systems (H-3, VO3800, 80-200mm, T/D)		
(REQUIRED ASAP)	H-3 - \$9950 (10)	\$99,500.00
	VO3800 (10)	27,000.00
	Lenses (10)	5,000.00
	Packframes (10)	500.00
	Batteries (10)	400.00
	Rechargers (10)	400.00
2 Editors (systems he is referring to) @ \$13,800.00		27,600.00
4 Monitors (black & white) @ \$400.00		1,600.00
2 Retrieval systems (Hervé Trembleys GYR)		<u>3,000.00</u>
		\$165,000.00

Logistics

1000 KCS20 @ \$20.00 ea. (TAPES- 20 MINUTES EACH)		\$20,000.00
300 KCA60A @ \$50.00 ea. (MASTER TAPES)		15,000.00
3 Nikon F2 bodies, speed-magny back and macro-lens @ \$1,000.00		3,000.00
Polaroid film for 500 exposures		<u>1,000.00</u>
		\$39,000.00

(EMERGENCY SITUATIONS) OR MAXIMUM SECURITY, LIVE TRANSMISSION, MOBILE TRANSMIT TO COMMUNICATIONS POST.

Emergency Planning

1 - 30W Tx (Video scrambled) (SECRET FREQUENCY)		\$10,000.00
3 - 2W Portable VHF Video Tx (with H-3 cameras)		
(TRANSMIT TO COMMAND COMM. POST.) Tx = \$5,000 ea., camera = \$9,950.00 ea., lenses at \$500 ea., batteries & rechargers @ \$100.00		46,650.00
3 Receivers with VO3800 VTRs including =		
T & D	Rx @ \$1000	3,000.00
	VTR @ 2700	8,100.00
	T & D @ \$100	<u>3,000.00</u>
		\$70,750.00

PA 727

Emergency Planning Cont'd

4 - 9" monitors & panel @ \$400.00 ea.	<u>1,600.00</u>
	\$72,350.00

Hotel Security < 1ST CLASS VIP HOTELS >

15 cameras, day night capability probably best use Venus V-1 @ 2,000.00 ea.	\$30,000.00
15 lenses wide angle @ \$300.00 ea	4,500.00
5 cameras and Tx's	
cameras = H-3 @ \$9,950.00 ea.	49,750.00
tx (low power @ \$5,000.00 ea.	25,000.00
lenses 80-200mm zoom @ \$500.00 ea.	2,500.00
5 receivers for above @ \$1,000.00 ea.	5,000.00
20 - 9" monitors & 1 rack at \$400.00 ea.	8,000.00
rack = \$100.00	100.00
10 assorted lenses at Avg. of \$500.00 ea.	5,000.00
5 VTRS' @ \$2,000.00	10,000.00

Training

DXC 1200 = \$5,690.00 < COLOUR CAMERA >	5,690.00
19" colour monitor = \$1,000.00	<u>1,000.00</u>
	\$146,540.00

5 nagra S/V @ \$2,000.00 (MINIATURE RECORDERS)	\$ 10,000.00
8 clifton Tx @ \$300.00 BUMPER RECORDERS (BUSES)	2,400.00
20 clifton Rx @ \$600.00	12,000.00
1 pr. NV goggles (NIGHT VISION)	5,000.00
5 SK8's @ \$3,000.00 BRIEF CASE RECORDERS.	15,000.00
10 BR 300's @ \$600.00 (Recorder incl)	6,000.00
50 Phams at \$10.00 (MINIATURE AMPLIFIERS)	<u>500.00</u>
	\$50,900.00

100 mics at \$10.00	1,500.00
50 4000 IC's @ \$500.00 ea.	25,000.00
2 CEI's @ \$5,000.00 ea.	10,000.00
10 Sonars @ \$300.00 ea.	3,000.00
4 Cheap receivers at \$150.00 ea.	600.00
10 T10 - T12 Tx at \$500.00 ea.	5,000.00
15 Internationals @ \$100.00 ea.	1,500.00
10 T11's @ \$500.00 ea.	5,000.00
5 Powerline carriers @ \$100.00 ea.	500.00
10 T12 MFM @ \$600.00 ea.	6,000.00
2 Remote control Tx - Rx systems @ \$1,000.00	2,000.00
20 Stop-starts at \$100.00	2,000.00
	<hr/>
	\$113,000.00

Recapulation

165,000.00	
39,000.00	
72,350.00	
146,540.00	
<u>113,000.00</u>	
\$535,890.00	"C" Div.
\$125,700.00	"A" Div. - <
<hr/>	
\$ 56,850.00	(now have)
<hr/>	
<u>\$604,740.00</u>	

TOTAL REQUIRED

AVAILABLE - APPROX \$400,000
IN OLY BUDGET.

Cost - Mte

VIP Security at Sites

10 Portable VTR Systems (H-3, VO3800, 80-200mm, T/D)	
H-3 - \$9950 (10)	\$99,500.00
VO3800 (10)	27,000.00
Lenses (10)	5,000.00
Packframes (10)	500.00
Batteries (10)	400.00
Rechargers (10)	400.00
2 Editors (systems he is referring to) @ \$13,800.00	27,600.00
4 Monitors (black & white) @ \$400.00	1,600.00
2 Retrieval systems (Hervé Trembleys GYYR)	<u>3,000.00</u>
	\$165,000.00

Logistics

1000 KCS20 @ \$20.00 ea.	\$20,000.00
300 KCA60A @ \$50.00 ea.	15,000.00
3 Nikon F2 bodies, speed-magny back and macro-lens @ \$1,000.00	3,000.00
Polaroid film for 500 exposures	<u>1,000.00</u>
	\$39,000.00

Emergency Planning

1 - 30W Tx (Video scrambled)	\$10,000.00
3 - 2W Portable VHF Video Tx (with H-3 cameras) Tx = \$5,000 ea., camera = \$9,950.00 ea., lenses at \$500 ea., batteries & rechargers @ \$100.00	46,650.00
3 Receivers with VO3800 VTRs including = T & D	
Rx @ \$1000	3,000.00
VTR @ 2700	8,100.00
T & D @ \$100	3,000.00
	<u>14,100.00</u>
	\$70,750.00

Emergency Planning Cont'd

4 - 9" monitors & panel @ \$400.00 ea.	<u>1,600.00</u>
	\$72,350.00

Hotel Security

15 cameras, day night capability probably best use Venus V-1 @ 2,000.00 ea.	\$30,000.00
15 lenses wide angle @ \$300.00 ea	4,500.00
5 cameras and Tx's	
cameras = H-3 @ \$9,950.00 ea.	49,750.00
tx (low power @ \$5,000.00 ea.	25,000.00
lenses 80-200mm zoom @ \$500.00 ea.	2,500.00
5 receivers for above @ \$1,000.00 ea.	5,000.00
20 - 9" monitors & 1 rack at \$400.00 ea.	8,000.00
rack = \$100.00	100.00
10 assorted lenses at Avg. of \$500.00 ea.	5,000.00
5 VTRS' @ \$2,000.00	10,000.00

Training

DXC 1200 = \$5,690.00	5,690.00
19" colour monitor = \$1,000.00	<u>1,000.00</u>
	\$146,540.00
5 nagra S/V @ \$2,000.00	\$10,000.00
8 clifton Tx @ \$300.00	2,400.00
20 clifton Rx @ \$600.00	12,000.00
1 pr. NV goggles	5,000.00
5 SK8's @ \$3,000.00	15,000.00
10 BR 300's @ \$600.00 (Recorder incl)	6,000.00
50 Phams at \$10.00	<u>500.00</u>
	\$50,900.00

100 mics at \$10.00	1,500.00
50 4000 IC's @ \$500.00 ea.	25,000.00
2 CEI's @ \$5,000.00 ea.	10,000.00
10 Sonars @ \$300.00 ea.	3,000.00
4 Cheap receivers at \$150.00 ea.	600.00
10 T10 - T12 Tx at \$500.00 ea.	5,000.00
15 Internationals @ \$100.00 ea.	1,500.00
10 T11's @ \$500.00 ea.	5,000.00
5 Powerline carriers @ \$100.00 ea.	500.00
10 T12 MFM @ \$600.00 ea.	6,000.00
2 Remote control Tx - Rx systems @ \$1,000.00	2,000.00
20 Stop-starts at \$100.00	2,000.00
	<hr/>
	\$113,000.00

Recapulation

165,000.00	
39,000.00	
72,350.00	
146,540.00	
<u>113,000.00</u>	
\$535,890.00	"C" Div.
\$125,700.00	"A" Div.
<hr/>	
\$ 56,850.00	(now have)
<hr/>	
\$604,740.00	

TOTAL REQUIRED

M E M O R A N D U M

July 22, 1974

GP 1570-4

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: S/Sgt. [redacted]
→ Insp. TAYLOR
Olympic Secretariat
D.D.G. (Ops.)

FROM: Supt. G. Begalki

Re: Olympic 1976 Security Filming
GP-1570-4


The above has been subject of discussion between myself and Insp. TAYLOR and I think we are in agreement in saying that something of a positive step must be taken to plan Security Service needs in relation to the Olympic Games.

2. Certain areas may not feel that the commitment of resources are at all necessary for making a film record of the Games as they relate to security. From my discussions with the D.G. and D.D.G. (Ops.), I have their instructions that we subscribe to meet this problem and the expenditures we may encounter will be justified. Filming will prove to be invaluable for training purposes, and for meeting similar major security events with high risk such as the Pen American Games in Edmonton in 1978.

COMMONWEALTH

3. Please feel free to cooperate with whoever Insp. TAYLOR designates from the Security Service element of the Secretariat, and make an inventory with the view of laying out the problem quickly and conscientiously, for example:

1. number of sites to be covered
2. number of photographers required at each site to fill our needs and objectives
3. the type of equipment available at "HQ" and any other Divisions
4. designation of personnel possessing skills to operate photographic equipment



*Please study
- Also BF & J
- [Signature]
24/2*

PA
oly 157

.....2

5. identify what new photographers must be enlisted from the D.N.D. or other Divisions
6. determine transportation requirements
7. identify mobile forces to be dispatched to high threat areas over and above those specifically assigned
8. planning and identifying accommodation and other logistic requirements
9. review of development facilities i.e., can we work our way within and cooperate with the media facilities that are being set up
10. briefing and training program

4. You reported to me that a decision was reached at the meeting of July 17th that letters would go out to the M.C.P., the Q.P.F., "O" Division, Kingston City Police etc. and "HQ" Directorates with the view of establishing a sub-committee to put together a list of equipment and personnel available, also designation of which police force(s) would be covering specific sites or events.

5. At the July 25th meeting register strong objections to attempting to accommodate this task by letter. It is simply impractical to expect that we can translate our letter into French, and the Montreal City Police will respond in the next two lightyears. What we must do is get proper transportation, go out and do the survey and start charting the course in a positive way.

6. On the matter of budget, please endeavor to cost it, and I would like to be on record to say that I think that a film that is going into R.C.M.P. cameras or cameras loaned to us for R.C.M.P. purposes then we will pay for the film. I can not visualize the M.C.P. for example doing the photography at the site and we buying the film for them. It seems not only impractical but hardly justifiable and a great deal of time can be spent massaging the problem.

7. Since Insp. TAYLOR and his few members on the Secretariat staff have already a very heavy load, I would ask that you see me next week to discuss the Pan American Games in Edmonton, and the need for meeting with Dr. BEDICKIE of Health and Welfare in order that we can get ahold of Edmonton committee's original report. We must then meet with Insp. ROCHEFORT and prepare correspondence to Insp. BYRNE in "K" Division so that necessary budgeting expenditure can be proceeded with.


(G. Gogalko) Supt.,
Officer i/c "D" Operations.

MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

TO: Officer i/c "D" Operations

FROM: S/Sgt. [redacted]

SUBJECT: Olympics - Documentary of Security on Film

SECURITY - CLASSIFICATION - DE SÉCURITÉ
CONFIDENTIAL
OUR FILE - N/RÉFÉRENCE
IP 100-3
YOUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE
DATE
September 29, 1975

On Tuesday September 23, 1975 I attended a presentation and demonstration of photographic equipment conducted by two members of NCIB Services Section held at the Vedic Building. Purpose of the demonstration was to bring those members of the Force concerned with security photography during the Olympics up to date on recent developments concerning procurement of equipment, its capabilities, cost, etc. Present at the meeting were:

- C/Supt. J.B. Giroux
- C/Supt. D.W. McGibbon
- Insp. R. McIntyre
- Insp. T.C. Jenkins
- Supt. D. Thompson - Vancouver, B.C. (Division Co-Ordinator for HABITAT)
- Insp. E. Godfrey
- Sgt. [redacted] - NCIB Services Section
- Sgt. [redacted] - NCIB Services Section
- S/Sgt. [redacted]

Reviewed *Ch-44*
 FEB 17 1976
 SECURITY SERVICE RECORDS

2. Sgt. [redacted] made an audio-visual presentation of approximately 45 minutes duration and also displayed photographic equipment. It was learned that an expenditure of \$500,000 has now been approved for security filming. (You will recall being advised in September 1974 that the entire security filming project had been shelved due to heavy commitments in other areas related to the 1976 Olympics).

3. The equipment described and displayed is known as low light level video photography recording system. With this equipment photographs can be taken under almost nonexistent light conditions. Different lenses for distance photography are utilized and clear photographs suitable for positive identification purposes can be obtained at distances up to 1200 feet. Two motor homes previously used for RCMP centennial display purposes are being converted to serve as mobile command

PA
01/15/7

[Handwritten signature]
15/12
1.12.75

→ [redacted]

- NOTE & RETAIN. ON APPROPRIATE FILE, P286.

000834

[Handwritten initials]

Olympics - Documentary of Security
on Film

posts. These units will be completely self-contained with power generating equipment, air conditioning, communications equipment etc. Helicopters which will also be used are to be equipped with photographic capabilities and communication with the mobile units. Portable camera units operating independently and carried by two members will mingle throughout the crowds and their photography will be monitored instantaneously on screens in the mobile vans from distances up to 1500 feet.

4. A system is now being worked out to include on video film the month, day, date, hour, minute and second each video tape was taken. Each tape can be reused if not required, however plans are being made to have tapes catalogued as it is felt most will be retained for identification purposes, court procedures, etc. Operators to be trained will in most cases have Identification Branch background. It was learned that this photographic equipment consists of the latest developments on the commercial market and only the radio frequency by which it transmits is classified.

5. Supt. Thompson asked whether the equipment could be used during the staging of HABITAT, also whether the Vancouver City Police could be made aware of its existence. Affirmative replies were received and members of NCIB will be requested to visit Vancouver in November to survey site locations, geographical and topographical area, etc. Insp. Gillard of Special "O" in Vancouver is aware of this system.

6. Insp. Godfrey raised the question of the role Security Service will play in this program. He raised two points (a) could Security Service personnel have access to video tapes for monitoring purposes during quiet hours on a daily basis, and (b) could teams of two men each who can recognize persons of interest be employed on a shift basis in the two vans to monitor screens and film as it is being taken. Sgt. [redacted] advised that two Security Service members could be accommodated in each van should this approach be decided upon.


CONFIDENTIAL

IP 100-3

- 3 -

7. After the meeting I privately asked the NCIB members whether or not this program could be worked in conjunction with video equipment the Security Service has. I was informed that NCIB Services Section equipment is probably more up to date and it is unlikely our equipment would be compatible. NCIB Services Section, which I understand has been renamed to Olympics Services Section has no contact with our "J" Operations.

8. It is my opinion that security filming needs will be met with this system. The role Security Service will play, monitoring of video tapes etc. will have to be worked out with operational personnel in "C" Division.

 S/Sgt.

c.c. Sgt. 
Operations

→ Insp. Godfrey
Security Service Olympic Secretariat

000836

MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

TO / À Supt. Begalki

FROM / DE Cpl. [redacted]

SECURITY - CLASSIFICATION - DE SÉCURITÉ
OUR FILE - N/RÉFÉRENCE <i>1P-100-3</i>
YOUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE
DATE June 27, 1974

SUBJECT / OBJET

I am submitting this memo as an idea/suggestion paper on how "D" Operations can improve their photo identification system across the country. After working on the International Terrorist briefing unit for the past month, I became increasingly aware of our deficiencies in this area. The end product of months of investigation can quite often be no more than a positive ident made on one of our main subjects at a V.I.P. or Special Events demonstration. ~~The positive ident by our members or city police, and subsequent action can effectively neutralize a potentially dangerous situation. However, before an arrest can be affected or close surveillance applied to a subject he must be positively identified.~~ Our job at these demonstrations, V.I.P. meetings etc. has been to advise the C.I.B. members of a subjects presence and then to render assistance.

2. In the past we have been able to accomplish this by having Security Service members, who know the subjects, in attendance at every event. Most events have been centralized and fairly easy to cover. Even Expo 67 was at least held on 3 islands and surveillance could be taken up at the relatively few entrance areas. However, the 76 Olympics is shaping up as a multi-dimensional security nightmare. True, the athletes village will be very secure, but the events themselves are a different matter. For example, the archery events are slated for the Laurentians; soccer for Sherbrooke; handball for Quebec City; water sports in Kingston, etc. In short, any one "threat" country could be in 10 events at 10 different locations around Montreal and area at any one time. All the parks in Montreal are being turned into training fields for the athletes, some of whom are slated to arrive up to 3 months prior to the Olympics. Again any "threat" country could have its athletes spread over 5 different training parks at the same time.

31.7.74
[Signature]

Reviewed *[Signature]*
FEB 17 1974
SECURITY SERVICE
00870 RECORDS

3. Given that we only have so many investigators who know the subjects in their own interest area, given the number of locations we will be asked to cover should we learn of a threat to any one team on a given day, I submit that the task becomes impossible unless we have an excellent photo album that we can distribute to our own C.I.B. and their counterparts.

4. At the present time we completely lack this capability. Certain "HQ" desks have national albums but these are bulky, cumbersome, and cover every subject instead of just those that pose a security threat. Some field units have their own albums but again these are in black and white, contain superfluous detail which limit their access and are by no means standardized or adaptable to quick change or a mendment.

5. Through my Montreal grapevine, I learned that "C" Division, "D" Operations, Special Projects had instituted a new photo program and had put one Cst. [REDACTED] in charge of instituting it. As Cst. [REDACTED] is presently in Ottawa on an Induction Course I took the liberty of interviewing him about the project. He has been appointed the Security Service photographer and he alone will photograph the subjects. Each section submits to him the names of the more potentially dangerous subjects. At the present time the sum total for "C" Division is 60 subjects. He has purchased special colour equipment and by taking the photos himself he will be guaranteed a professional and standardized product. He will be preparing a photo bank and slide bank to be used for briefings and in the Ops. Centre, however, he returns the photo's to the section for them to make albums for distribution.

6. Herein lies what I see to be the main drawbacks of this project. They will be neither standardized nor compiled as one complete Security Service album, not to mention either national or international coverage.

7. I would therefore like to make the following proposal, "HQ" "D" Operations should co-ordinate this project and institute it in Toronto and Vancouver. The photos should be taken in those centres by one person using identical equipment and film (Cst. [REDACTED] could travel to the other smaller Divisions to take their pictures for them and aid them in setting up a photo bank). To further standardize the product the "HQ" co-ordinator should set up a standard format so that it is distributable and not restrictive in nature. This will also ensure that all albums have the same subjects on the same pages for easy reference and direction. For example we could put out an y APB on all subjects on pages 10-14 (i.e. CPC (M-L)) if they are the threat of the day. The CPC (M-L) could have pages

10-14 with 15 & 16 in reserve for any additions (similar to CSO's).

8. By having a "HQ" co-ordinator we also simplify the technical problems. At the present time the Force does not have the equipment to print colour photos on paper. The "C" Division project was therefore going to produce various albums with "glued" in pictures. The problems here are obvious; unprofessional, sloppy and a monumental task when you consider we could end up with 200 subjects and 500 albums.

9. My discussions with our IDENT Branch have shown that we could have the album lithographed at a local established print shop for the photos only. The sheets could then be run through our own printing shop for the addition of the biographical data and collation.

10. The end product is a professional colour album produced in final form 3 months prior to the Olympics but easily adaptable to new amendments etc. I believe that this album project could come under direction of the man assigned to the briefing unit of the International Terrorist Section for initial implementation. I would also suggest that the album be broken down into 3 separate volumes. National (those subjects already in Canada), American (those subjects in North or South America that could enter Canada via our Southern border) and International (those subjects that would be arriving by International Flights). This would restrict the size of the album that you would have to supply our Airport security or our Border crossings etc.

11. The cost of this project is minimal when weighed against the fact that a positive identification of a potentially dangerous subject could prevent an international incident. (Munich and Black September, Kosygin and Matri, Nixon and Bremmer, Ghandi and the CPC M-L). In actual dollars and cents I have been advised by Cst. [redacted] that he purchased \$1,500.00 worth of new equipment which, when added to existing facilities gives him all that is required (besides expertise) to produce a very professional product.

12. Proper "HQ" direction and co-ordination early in the preparatory stages will not only guarantee us this professional product but will be welcomed, I believe, by the field.

[redacted]
[redacted]
Cpl.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Officer i/c Olympic Secretariat
Security Service

IP 285-2

FROM: Officer i/c [redacted] Operations

12 May 1975

Re: Monitoring of Radio Broadcasts

This is in reference to memorandum of Mr. F. WAUGH on the subject of Ham Operators and in particular to the reference to "Radio Amateur Serving Olympics", an organization in Montreal whose apparent function is to enable athletes and officials to communicate with their homeland.

2. Our initial reaction to this matter is that if we can fully accept this possibility as fact, who does what and for what purpose, would there be any benefit gained in attempting to monitor such a facility?

3. Although not directly related, we are aware that an agreement was recently signed between Canada and Poland relative to the governing of amateur radio operations. Certain details of this agreement may give you a better understanding of the magnitude of this problem.

4. The arrangement between Canada and Poland is the first and as of February 25, 1975, the only arrangement with a Soviet Bloc country. It functions under the Operations Branch of the Telecommunications Regulatory Service of the Department of Communications.

5. The Department of External Affairs state that their Regulations provide for granting authorization to a person who is a resident and citizen of a country other than Canada to operate an amateur station while he is temporarily in Canada on the condition (1) that he is the holder of an appropriate Amateur Station licence and operator's certificate issued by the government of the country of which he is a citizen, (2) that the government of the country of which he is a citizen grants the same privileges to Canadian citizens, and (3) that the Minister of Communications authorizes him in writing to operate the radio station.

SECRET
JUN 10 1975
SECURITY SERVICE
RECORDS

OLYMPIC SECRET
RECEIVED
MAY 13 1975

RA
3/5/74
4.6


4.6. no extracts

- RETURN WITH FILE, PLSE, & PREVIOUS CORRESPONDENCE.

6. The procedures also require the non-resident foreign radio amateur to furnish evidence that he is the holder of a valid station licence and operator's certificate issued by the government of the country of which he is a citizen. Then, after he has given the Canadian address where he may be reached, he may be given a Letter of Authority valid for the duration of his stay in Canada or for one year from the date of issue, whichever is lesser. The Letter of Authority is issued free of charge and may be renewed if necessary. It permits him to operate in accordance with the provisions of the licence he holds but only insofar as those provisions do not conflict with Canadian Radio Regulations. This includes use of his own call sign, appropriately suffixed to indicate the Canadian area of operation.

7. It would seem that the above provisions can and will be met by other countries as time progresses. It is also only reasonable to assume that various Olympic factions will take advantage of this situation and apply for permission to operate accordingly.

8. The immediate and obvious question which arises is whether or not such facilities will provide persons with the ability to make use of these arrangements in any unauthorized fashion or in association with or support of any clandestine activity. Although it is quite possible that this arrangement will be susceptible to such usage, it is doubtful that any realistic steps could be taken to ensure that it is not. If such were the case, is it not reasonable to expect that users would invoke security measures of their own, codes, etc. which although detectible would serve little purpose in evaluating the content or intent of the broadcast.


(J.A. Nowlan), Supt.
Officer i/c "E" Operations

MEMORANDUM

NOTE DE SERVICE

TO: O's i/c A, B, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, L Operations;
Admin. & F.S.S.; Data Processing & Forms
Management; Foreign Services; Internal
Security; Olympics Secretariate; Policy-
Planning & Co-Ordination; Staffing;
Training & Development.

FROM: DE C/M I/C [REDACTED] OPERATIONS

SECURITY - CLASSIFICATION - DE SECURITE
<u>S E C R E T</u>
OUR FILE - N/RÉFÉRENCE
YOUR FILE - V/RÉFÉRENCE
DATE January 14, 1975.

SUBJECT: SECURITY SERVICE DATA COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM
OBJET:

Sometime within the next twelve to eighteen months, the computerized high speed message switching system will become a working reality with terminals in Montreal, Toronto and Vancouver as well as various locations within the "HQ" Security Service.

Two members of [REDACTED] Operations will in the immediate future, be conducting a survey to facilitate the location of these terminals within "HQ".

In the meantime it would be appreciated if you would consider the following items prior to being contacted.

- (i) the number of messages received and generated per month by sections within your Operation,
- (ii) is the location of your current Operation permanent,
- (iii) consider the physical location, i.e., central to all users,
- (iv) within your Operation, are the duties diverse enough that a second terminal may be required at this time. Also, your particular Operation may be housed in separate floors, whereby a second terminal will be required.

It is essential that considerable consideration be given to the location of the terminal, because when selected, it will have to remain there. We will be contracting for the installation of special conduit to house the lines.

... 2

Reviewed *Chy*
FEB 17 1976
SECURITY SERVICE
RECORDS

000860

*PA
1/22/75*

M E M O R A N D U M

July 22, 1974

GP 1570-4

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: S/Sgt. [redacted]
→ Insp. TAYLOR
Olympic Secretariat
D.D.G. (Ops.)

FROM: Supt. G. Begalki

Re: Olympic 1976 Security Filming
GP-1570-4


The above has been subject of discussion between myself and Insp. TAYLOR and I think we are in agreement in saying that something of a positive step must be taken to plan Security Service needs in relation to the Olympic Games.

2. Certain areas may not feel that the commitment of resources are at all necessary for making a film record of the Games as they relate to security. From my discussions with the D.G. and D.D.G. (Ops.), I have their instructions that we subscribe to meet this problem and the expenditures we may encounter will be justified. Filming will prove to be invaluable for training purposes, and for meeting similar major security events with high risk such as the Pen American Games in Edmonton in 1978.

COMMONWEALTH

3. Please feel free to cooperate with whoever Insp. TAYLOR designates from the Security Service element of the Secretariat, and make an inventory with the view of laying out the problem quickly and conscientiously, for example:

1. number of sites to be covered
2. number of photographers required at each site to fill our needs and objectives
3. the type of equipment available at "HQ" and any other Divisions
4. designation of personnel possessing skills to operate photographic equipment



*Please study
- Also BF & J
- [Signature]
24/2*

PA
oly 157

.....2


5. identify what new photographers must be enlisted from the D.N.D. or other Divisions
6. determine transportation requirements
7. identify mobile forces to be dispatched to high threat areas over and above those specifically assigned
8. planning and identifying accommodation and other logistic requirements
9. review of development facilities i.e., can we work our way within and cooperate with the media facilities that are being set up
10. briefing and training program

4. You reported to me that a decision was reached at the meeting of July 17th that letters would go out to the M.C.P., the Q.P.F., "O" Division, Kingston City Police etc. and "HQ" Directorates with the view of establishing a sub-committee to put together a list of equipment and personnel available, also designation of which police force(s) would be covering specific sites or events.

5. At the July 25th meeting register strong objections to attempting to accommodate this task by letter. It is simply impractical to expect that we can translate our letter into French, and the Montreal City Police will respond in the next two lightyears. What we must do is get proper transportation, go out and do the survey and start charting the course in a positive way.

6. On the matter of budget, please endeavor to cost it, and I would like to be on record to say that I think that a film that is going into R.C.M.P. cameras or cameras loaned to us for R.C.M.P. purposes then we will pay for the film. I can not visualize the M.C.P. for example doing the photography at the site and we buying the film for them. It seems not only impractical but hardly justifiable and a great deal of time can be spent massaging the problem.

7. Since Insp. TAYLOR and his few members on the Secretariat staff have already a very heavy load, I would ask that you see me next week to discuss the Pan American Games in Edmonton, and the need for meeting with Dr. BEDICKIE of Health and Welfare in order that we can get ahold of Edmonton committee's original report. We must then meet with Insp. ROCHEFORT and prepare correspondence to Insp. BYRNE in "K" Division so that necessary budgeting expenditure can be proceeded with.


(G. Gogalko) Supt.,
Officer i/c "D" Operations.

COOP & OPS

SECRET

APR 15 11 01 AM '75
COMMUNICATIONS

WARNING THIS IS A CLASSIFIED CYPHER MESSAGE

All replies or references to it MUST bear the security classification stamped hereon, unless downgraded by proper authority.

YOUR TELEPHONE IS NOT SECURE

The content or any portion of this message irrespective of classification is NOT to be discussed over the telephone.

4a

7-8 ROUTINE OTT3 18 APR 75 SECRET

MTL2 (ATTN: I OPS) ✓
INFO: OTT4 (I OPS) ✓

SS(1)587/99

RE: IA-50-6-76 - ESTIMATES OF SURVEILLANCE REQUIREMENTS - 1976 OLYMPICS

YOUR PROPOSAL FOR THE LOAN OF 38 SURVEILLANTS FROM "A" DIVISION DURING THE OLYMPIC PERIOD IS NOW BEING CONSIDERED BY "I" OPS. "A" DIVISION IN TERMS OF CAPABILITY. COULD YOU PLEASE SUPPLY MYSELF AND "A" DIVISION WITH YOUR ESTIMATES OF EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS SUCH AS VEHICLES, RADIOS, PORTOPACKS, CAMERAS, ETC. WHICH YOU FEEL WOULD BE NECESSARY TO ACCOMPANY THE MANPOWER YOU HAVE REQUESTED.

I OPS HQ SEC SERV

PLSE ACK

OTT4 ACK KKK

TKS KK

IA [Signature] 18/4/75

SECRET

APR 18 1975

PA [Signature]

Copy to [Redacted]

APR 11
JUN 10 1975
SECURITY SERVICE RECORDS