

On 24 February 1975 the Federal Security Coordinator discussed with me a need he perceives for the analysis and correlation of Security Service threat intelligence in such a way as to be of maximum utility to both himself and the Secretariat, for planning and briefing purposes.

Firstly, the Federal Security Coordinator stated that he would like us to extract all items from Guidelines, terrorist weekly and monthly bulletins, which have direct relevance to the Olympics and to set them out by appropriate subject categories. He proposed that an initial threat updating be presented at a future Monday general meeting and that a weekly intelligence updating be given at each subsequent general meeting. I agreed to discuss his proposal with members of the Security Service Secretariat to arrive at a practical solution. The following categories were suggested by the Federal Security Coordinator for our consideration:

(a) Ofganization Threats - P.L.O., J.D.L., J.R.A., I.R.A. etc. to include brief overview, simplified organizational chart, and specific threat.

Copy placed on

Threat Assessment - (General)

(b) Individual threats - synposis and activities of specific persons who may be preparing some action which would pose some threat to the Olympics.

(c) New weaponry - for example Sam 7, miniature explosive devices, which could be utilized to disrupt the Olympics.

(d) Documentation - intelligence indicating a possible utilization of false, altered or stolen documents by terrorist suspects.

022	(e) above	General threat inter categories but genera	ally relevant red any	of the
	.(.	376	MAY 13 1975	P LITS
, est	12/12	9/26-2.75	SECURITY SERVICE RECORDS	G'
D	le l'	V r~		

CGSB STANDARD FORM 22d

FORMULE NORMALISÉE 22d DE L'ONGC

- 2 -

I would like to discuss the Federal Security Coordinator's request as soon as S/Sgt. returns off course to determine feasibility and format. The F.S.C. is basically looking for material prepared in a loose-leaf style to permit regular updating with a minimum of effort. The first step, is to review back issues of the Guidelines and terrorist bulletins as well as any other material we have on hand to identify relevant items. Once this is done a format can then be designed which may also lend itself to other uses in conjuction with our development of suitable threat packages for dissemination to "A", "C" and "O" Divisions and other police forces involved in Olympic planning.

The F.S.C. also brought up the Country Profiles, recently received from "D" Ops. and asked me if "D" Ops. would also be suppling specific material in the following categories:

(a) threat projections for individual security functions specifically, Vital Points, Harbours, Borders, Airports, V.I.P.'s and Athletes. per p" pro-

(b) terrorist organization profiles. County profiles
(c) individual terrorist profiles

(c) individual terrorist profiles.

In reply I stated that a threat assessment relevant to airports and sky-jacking incidents was currently being prepared by "D" Ops. for the information of both "P" Directorate and the Olympic Secretariat. Insofar as the other items are concerned, I stated that I would discuss them with the Officer i/c "D" Ops. and his staff.

ylor, Insp. Officer i/c Security Service Olympics Secretariat