


Box 4111-E, Ottawa I, Ontario



Vol. 1, Issue 75

February 1981

Subscription \$5.00 for 12 issues

Some Current Canadian Truths

"The truth must be repeated again and again, because error is constantly being preached round about us, and not only by isolated individuals, but by the majority. In the newspapers and encyclopedias, in the schools and universities, everywhere error is dominant, securely and comfortably ensconced in public opinion which it on its side."

- Goethe (1828)

Is the picture better in Canada today? Surely not in the newspapers, which are reduced in numbers and concentrated in ownership, to a degree that chastens any journalist who escaped the mold of total conformity during his "education".

The even mightier instruments of deception and propaganda, television and motion pictures were not foreseen a century ago. And what a shallow and slanted report they gave on the Iranian hostages apart from the very real personal relief!

Our schools and universities have been unable to discard science fundamentals like mathematics and physics. They have, however, pushed a falsified science of economics with a fraudulent monetary system. They have falsified and suppressed history and emphasized pseudo-sciences of sociology, psychology, anthropology and political science.

How can Canadians vote responsibly or take other intelligent action when most know little of what is happening, and the few who seek facts diligently can learn only that most of the "experts" and "leaders" are as confused as anyone? It is a sad time of uncertain trumpets.

Does your M.P. or your provincial "representative" know anything meaningful that you can discuss with him? Can he tell you why capital punishment is wrong for a ruthless murderer but is all right for a totally innocent baby in abortion? Can he say why lawful owners of firearms must be more tightly controlled but greater freedom is appropriate for homosexuals and users of marijuana (including Cabinet ministers?) who are on a road of destruction? Does he remember the Toronto shoeshine boy, Manuel Jacques? Can he explain 20% interest rates strangling the whole economy (except high finance), or inflation, or who decided that we need metric? Does he realize that private enterprise is languishing while international conglomerates take over large and small business, e.g. National Life and New York's Continental Corporation?

Be fair. If you've tested him and found that he failed, you have a basis for criticism. If you have never questioned him on anything, why not? He works for you. Your taxes pay his salary and privileges. He claims to represent you. Test him.

Maybe he can explain the whole Constitution crisis at a time when many large genuine problems could use our intelligence and energy. Can Canadian politicians and, in particular, Mr. Trudeau and his buccaneers, ever again expect to be taken seriously? Small wonder that the Canadian dollar is said to be heading for a level of 70¢ American from the present 83¢.

What was dealt with in the 3,216 Orders-in-Council passed in the new reign of P.E.T. to February 10, 1981? You may be sure that the rights of individual Canadians have not been secretly increased.

Just after the 1980 election, my M.P., Jean-Luc Pepin, condescended to see me after a multitude of requests. I faced him with a specific question, in the presence of a witness. Essentially, I said "Mr. Pepin, in 1965, I correctly called the Canada Pension Plan a colossal fraud and was drummed out of the civil service and effectively blackballed from actuarial employment. Your predecessors as my M.P., Paul Tardif, John Turner and Jean Piggott have satisfied themselves on those facts, and suggested that I go on municipal welfare. What is your advice?" He said that it was a most perplexing matter and that was why they had not dealt with me sooner. He promised to supply further information. In the year since, he has not done so nor accepted telephone calls from me. This is my representative?

Your M.P. may not be so obviously defective but isn't it time you checked him out on something? In the car industry we hear a lot about warranties and recalls. Shouldn't your M.P. be checked against his "warranty" and his party be asked to recall him, if appropriate?

It has been said that Canadian governments have two major faults. The first is that they do not collect taxes in a fair and reasonable manner. The second is that they do not spend taxes (and borrowings) in an efficient and reasonable manner.

What do you say?

- John Kroeker

A Clear Message for the Department of National Revenue

TO: D. C. "Chastly" Green Esq., Director, Tax Thievery Brigands etc., Department of Infernal Revenue, Winnipeg Centre Thugs, Manitoba.

SUBJECT: 25 million dollar tax mausoleum, a Taj Mahal to house filthy tax corrupt bloodsuckers.

Sir: Returned herewith your slimy invite to attend opening of your blood den of thieves hypocrites, bloodsuckers and corrupt conniving federal pact of thugs raping the Canadian public of their lifeblood.

This 25,000,000.00 dollar edifice erected with the blood, sweat and tears of the Canadian tax payer, will house an elite gaggle of vermin and scum who are draining the docile apathetic public dry of its inflated paper money trash. However, one G.A. Hart will see you all in hell, as he has done for more than 40 years & never comply with your corrupt illegal, unconstitutional directives, bear that in mind you Green reptile.

The B.N.A. Act shows that inc., tax is illegal & immoral, in fact a supreme court judgement in 1950 declared the tax ultravires; that's all I need Greene to tell you & your rotten conniving associates to go to the devil. I will never file whats say pay you anything, you ripoff rogues.

P.S. I suppose the tax payer paid for the sleazy refreshments???

With utmost disgust,
"taxfreeman" Gerald A. Hart - June 20, 1980

Soviet Technology

"The information I am going to present to you this afternoon is known to the Administration.

"The information is probably not known to the Senator from South Dakota or his advisors. And in this instance ignorance may be a blessing in disguise.

"I am not - a politician. I am not going to tell you what you want to hear. My job is to give you the facts. Whether you like or dislike what I say doesn't concern me.

"I am here because I believe - and Congressman Ashbrook believes - that the American Public should have these facts.

"I have spent 10 years in research in Soviet technology. What it is - what it can do - and particularly where it came from. I have published three books and several articles summarising the work.

"I have 15 minutes to tell you about this work.

"In a few words: there is not such thing as Soviet technology.

"Almost all - perhaps 90-95 percent - came directly or indirectly from the United States and its allies. In effect the United States and NATO countries have built the Soviet Union, its industrial and its military capabilities. This massive construction job has taken 50 years - since the Revolution in 1917. It has been carried out through trade and the sale of plants, equipment and technical assistance.

"Why has the war in Vietnam continued for four long years under this administration? With 15,000 killed under the Nixon administration? We can stop the Soviets and their friends in Hanoi anytime we want to. Without using a single gun or anything more dangerous than a piece of paper or a telephone call. We have Soviet technical dependence as a instrument of world peace. The most humane weapon that can be conceived. We have always had that option. We have never used it.

Dr. Anthony Sutton, author of "National Suicide, Military Aid to the Soviet Union" addressing the Republican Party's National Security Subcommittee at 1972 Convention.

(Editor's note: Maybe if Canada imported no Lada cars and tractors, Chrysler and Massey Ferguson and unemployed Canadians would need less subsidy from taxpayers. Also, why should we spend billions on new Jetfighters and other armaments to match Soviet weaponry which they would not have if Canadian wheat were not supplied to them.)

Filing into Afghanistan

"I am in possession of new information from a reliable source within the KGB, my good friend Yuri Andropov, explaining the recent invasion of Afghanistan.

"Now, although its surveillance and missile launching technology is quite advanced, it turns out the KGB's filing system is still rather backward. 'Unlike the monopoly capitalists', Mr. Andropov explained, 'computerized methods of information storage and retrieval have not yet been introduced.'

"The KGB has an extraordinary amount of material to file. As Andropov said, 'The population of Soviet-controlled territory is in excess of 300 millions and quickly growing. Moreover,' he added, 'the monopoly capitalists need only billing information, while the KGB must gather material in every imaginable area. The number of filing cabiners alone has made the Soviet Union the world's largest producer of steel.'

"Necessarily, space must run out. Andropov complained that so many had volunteered to work in Siberia that his own expansion plans there had to be foregone. Another proposal that filing centres be established on the moon, fell through when comrades failed to discover the American method for landing men on the moon, then getting them back again. A shuttle had been planned between the Sea of Tranquility and Moscow.

Andropov assured me that, had the Americans not placed a ban on the export of high technology equipment to the Soviet Union, the invasion of Afghanistan would have been entirely unnecessary. Despite urgent requests, and warnings of the potential consequence to world peace, the Americans had persisted in their "unbrotherly act".

"'Even so,' said Andropov, 'as a peaceloving people we have decided only to invade insignificant, sparsely populated countries like Afghanistan. However, as our need for filing space increases, bigger and more populous countries will have to go.' I asked him if that meant they might be considering India, but Andropov told me no, they had filled that country already.

David Warren in Our Canada -Box 843
Station F, Toronto, Ontario M4Y 2N7

Excerpts from "Freedom of Choice Party Brief on the Constitution"

1. We argue that Quebec's anti-English stance in recent years is unusual, unconstitutional, and unreasonable and that the proposed Constitution does not ameliorate the hindrances placed upon the English-speaking Canadians living in Quebec. Indeed, the new Constitution occasionally legitimizes Quebec's anti-English actions.

2. Even provincial signs on FEDERAL land are unilingual NOT bilingual in Quebec. For instance, the sign indicating the Victoria Bridge at the St. Lambert lock has a large metal plate welded over the word "bridge" and all signs to the lock have the word "lock" painted out.

3. Indeed, FEDERAL signs are frequently unilingual French in Quebec.

4. However, there is one sign that always has English - the one exhorting young people to join the army.

Turning to labelling, Quebec Government products such as the milk made available to schools is not bilingually labelled.

5. All documents issued by the Quebec Government from driving licenses to birth certificates for English-speaking children are French only.

Turning to education, we see that high school graduation diplomas, report cards from English Catholic schools, and, even the application forms and eligibility certificates to attend English schools are unilingual French.

In professional areas licensed by the Province, students may qualify ONLY in French even if working in English with English-speaking people. As has been publicized, English Quebecers such as nurses in training have much higher failure rates than French Quebecers who do NOT take French tests let alone tests in English. Yet, pilot studies indicate that approximately 15% of FRENCH Quebecers would fail the FRENCH tests.

Last but not least in education, English-speaking PROTESTANT Canadian children are being coerced into French CATHOLIC schools. The six child plaintiffs in the Quebec Federation of Home and Schools case against Bill 101 are English Canadian PROTESTANTS, including one Jehovah's Witness who are being coerced into French Catholic schools. This coercion is Quebec Government POLICY - according to a statement by Premier Levesque at MacDonald-Cartier High School, March 1979.

6. Moving to the unconstitutional education situation in Quebec, Articles 72, 75 and 79 of Bill 101 are a direct constitutional challenge to Section 93 of the B.N.A. Act. Indeed, despite a Supreme Court of Canada decision in the 1950's specifically forbidding the coercion of Jehovah's Witnesses into Catholic schools, as indicated, one of the child plaintiffs in the Q.F.H.S. case against Bill 101 is a Jehovah's Witness coerced into a French Catholic school.

Besides trampling upon religious rights, Quebec's actions trample upon other educational rights.

As can be seen in Section 93(2) of the B.N.A. Act, school boards in Quebec have a legal existence and legal powers under the Constitution. Legally, the powers held by school boards prior to 1967 were continued AFTER 1867. According to the Consolidated Statutes of Lower Canada (1861) which have never been repealed, including among the rights and privileges of School Trustees was the exclusive right to determine the language of instruction in schools.

In addition to violating the Constitution as regards language and religion in education, Bill 101 violates the Constitution when it requires only French be used for professional qualifications. The Supreme Court of Canada decision in December 1979 stated: "it would be overly technical to ignore the modern development of non-curial adjudicative agencies which play so important a role in our society, and to refuse to extend to proceedings before them the guarantee of the right to use either French or English by those subject to this jurisdiction.

Although the Supreme Court decision clearly requires English, the Quebec Government continued with their language tests. People such as nurses in training are still being failed one year AFTER the Supreme Court of Canada's decision.

Freedom of Choice Party, 5211 Sherbrooke St. West
Montreal, Quebec H4A 1T7

Turn Right With Reagan ? (To a Degree)

Ronald Reagan may personally favor many sound, sensible ideas but to be elected, he made a deal with American power brokers.

"Reagan would make Bush the Vice President and give the foreign policy mechanism back to the Eastern Establishment. The results showed up quickly in overt campaign support from Rockefeller, Ford and Kissinger, and the instant rise in popularity of the Bush people in the campaign. In mid-to-late December the fruit of this 'arrangement' became known. Caspar Weinberger, a liberal, a former Nixon Cabinet member, a Rockefeller confidante, a member of the Trilateral Commission, was appointed Secretary of Defense. William Casey, Kissinger confidante of the SEC, member of the CFR, close Rockefeller and Kissinger confidante is to head the CIA. And, just to keep it 'all in the family', Alexander Haig, protege and former military attache to Henry Kissinger, was named Secretary of State. This writer believes that by far the most important, far reaching and potentially dangerous appointment Reagan has made is that of Alexander Haig...

"Haig's 'qualifications': He was born into a mainline Philadelphia family, and attended West Point, graduating 214th in a class of 310 in 1947. In 1962 Cyrus Vance, then Secretary of the Army, spotted Haig, made him his military assistant. When Vance became Deputy Defense secretary in 1964 Haig went with him, then became special assistant to Robert McNamara. In 1966 Haig served in Vietnam, was spotted by Kissinger, became the latter's most trusted aide. For services to Nixon and Kissinger, he was promoted over 250 senior officers to become a four star general. When the Establishment Media moved in to wreck the Nixon Administration, Kissinger moved Haig in as the White House Chief of Staff where he presided over the transition to Ford. Ford rewarded Haig by appointing him NATO commander, on Kissinger's recommendation. He retired from military service, took a temporary position as president of United Technologies Corporation (Norden Systems, Otis Elevator, Sikorsky Aircraft, Pratt & Whitney Aircraft, etc.). Then was called to become a member of Reagan's transition team, later to be designated Secretary of State.

"A truly 'political' general, Haig was strongly pushed to become secretary of state by Ford, Nixon, Kissinger, Rockefeller, and Lord Carrington, the British Foreign Minister, who incidentally happens to be a member of the Trilateral Commission and 'father' of the current Marxist government in Zimbabwe (Rhodesia). On December 27, Haig was also endorsed by Zbigniew Brzezinski ... It is interesting that Haig is being described by the establishment media (Time, Newsweek, The New York Times, Washington Post, etc.) in glowing terms as a 'hawk' who will 'standup to the Russians', in much the same terms they used to describe Brzezinski four years ago. (He was no hawk) ... Haig's first act as secretary of state designate was to fire Reagan's entire (mostly conservative) foreign policy transition team, leaving Haig (along with George Bush - according to the Wall Street Journal) as the chief architect and implementer of U.S. foreign policy.

"Signs of the times: After five days of dramatic, emotional and selectively televised hearings (a good show) Alexander Haig was confirmed as Secretary of State by the supposedly conservative Senate Foreign Relations Committee. All questions concerning Watergate were tabled, the final vote was 15 for, and 2 against confirmation. Other confirmations of other Establishment lackeys followed in due course in other Senate Committees. So, the same old crowd still controls the country; and our work has but begun.

- Donald McAlvany (Gold and Monetary Report) and
Don Bell Reports, Box 2223, Palm Beach, Florida, 33480

Letter to Editor, Ottawa,
February 9, 1981

(Vancouver Province; Edmonton Journal; Edmonton Sun;
Calgary Herald; Regina Leader-Post; Saskatoon Star-
Phoenix; Winnipeg Free Press; Hamilton Spectator;
Toronto Star; Toronto Sun; Globe and Mail; Kingston
Whig-Standard; Ottawa Citizen; Montreal Gazette;
Halifax Chronicle Herald; St. John's Telegram)

Thanks to Mrs. Anderson and Mr. Axworthy for making it clear that to be on the Advisory Committee on the Status of Women (with annual salaries up to \$46,000), one must be a female, reliable, Liberal pussycat.

Presumably, to be on the Advisory Committees for the Canada Pension Plan, or Unemployment Insurance, or a hundred other topics, one need not be female, but must still be a reliable, Liberal pussycat.

- J. Kroeker, F.S.A., A.C.A.S., F.C.I.A.

PLEASE SEND THE C.F.R.G. NEWSLETTER FOR THE ENCLOSED REMITTANCE OF \$5.00 FOR 12 ISSUES FOR EACH SUBSCRIPTION TO:

NAME: _____

ADDRESS: _____